

POLYNOMIAL GLOBAL PRODUCT STRUCTURE

ANDY HAMMERLINDL

ABSTRACT. An Anosov diffeomorphism is topologically conjugate to an infranilmanifold automorphism if and only if it has polynomial Global Product Structure.

1. INTRODUCTION

A famous conjecture states that every Anosov diffeomorphism is topologically conjugate to an algebraic example, specifically an infranilmanifold automorphism. In classification results toward this end [4] [11], a key step is establishing Global Product Structure.

Foliations \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} have *Global Product Structure* if every leaf $\mathcal{F}(x)$ intersects every leaf $\mathcal{G}(y)$ in a unique point $[x, y]$. An Anosov diffeomorphism has Global Product Structure if its stable and unstable foliations have Global Product Structure on the universal cover. While it is not clear if Global Product Structure alone is enough to give a classification, the following stronger condition is.

Foliations \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} have *polynomial Global Product Structure* if there is a polynomial p such that $d_{\mathcal{F}}(x, [x, y]) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(y, [x, y]) < p(d(x, y))$ for all x and y . Here, $d_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $d_{\mathcal{G}}$ are distances measured along the leaves, and d is distance on the ambient manifold.

Theorem 1.1. *An Anosov diffeomorphism is topologically conjugate to an infranilmanifold automorphism if and only if it has polynomial Global Product Structure.*

In particular, all known examples of Anosov systems have polynomial Global Product Structure. All of the ingredients needed to prove the forward direction appear in the work of Brin and Manning [2][4], and the converse direction is a routine exercise in Lie group theory. However, the result seems to have gone unnoticed to date.

We give a specific application of the result. A foliation \mathcal{F} is *quasi-isometric* if, after lifting to the universal cover, there is $Q > 1$ such that $d_{\mathcal{F}}(x, y) \leq Qd(x, y) + Q$ for all x and y on the same lifted leaf.

Theorem 1.2. *An Anosov diffeomorphism with quasi-isometric stable and unstable foliations is topologically conjugate to an infranilmanifold automorphism.*

The same analysis applies to partially hyperbolic systems and we give several results, including the following.

Theorem 1.3. *For a (strongly) partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism on a 3-manifold M , the stable, center, and unstable foliations exist and are quasi-isometric if and only if M is finitely covered by the 3-torus.*

2. ANOSOV SYSTEMS

Definition. Consider a diffeomorphism f on a compact Riemannian manifold M with a Tf -invariant splitting $TM = E^s \oplus E^c \oplus E^u$ and constants $\sigma < 1 < \mu$ such that

$$\|Tf v^s\| < \sigma < \|Tf v^c\| < \mu < \|Tf v^u\|$$

for all unit vectors $v^s \in E^s$, $v^c \in E^c$, and $v^u \in E^u$.

- If E^c is the zero bundle, then f is called *Anosov*.
- If exactly one of E^s and E^u is the zero bundle, then f is called *weakly partially hyperbolic*.
- If all three subbundles are non-zero, then f is called *strongly partially hyperbolic*.

We first give two polynomial estimates which hold for all Anosov systems.

Definition. For an ordered pair of foliations $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$, an R -rectangle is a continuous map $\phi : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow M$ such each $\phi(\cdot, t)$ lies in a leaf of \mathcal{F} , each $\phi(s, \cdot)$ lies in a leaf of \mathcal{G} , and

$$\sup\{d_{\mathcal{G}}(\phi(s, t_1), \phi(s, t_2)) : s, t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]\} < R.$$

The pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ has *polynomial bounds on rectangles* if there is a polynomial p such that

$$d_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi(0, 0), \phi(1, 0)) < \frac{1}{p(R)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad d_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi(0, 1), \phi(1, 1)) < 1$$

for every R -rectangle ϕ . We simply write that \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} have polynomial bounds on rectangles, if both $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ and $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F})$ satisfy the property.

The following observation is at the core of the proof in [1].

Lemma 2.1. *For an Anosov diffeomorphism, the stable and unstable foliations, lifted to the universal cover, have polynomial bounds on rectangles.*

Definition. A Riemannian manifold \tilde{M} has *polynomial growth of volume*, if there is a polynomial p such that $\text{volume}(B(x, R)) < p(R)$ for all $x \in \tilde{M}$ and $R > 0$. Here $B(x, R)$ is the set of all points at a distance less than R from x .

A foliation \mathcal{F} has polynomial growth of volume if there is a polynomial p such that $\text{volume}(\mathcal{F}(x, R)) < p(R)$ for all $x \in \tilde{M}$ and $R > 0$, and where $\mathcal{F}(x, R)$ denotes all points reachable from x by a path in $\mathcal{F}(x)$ of length less than R . (That is, each leaf has polynomial growth, and the polynomial is independent of the leaf.)

If a map $f : M \rightarrow M$ is uniformly expanding, the universal cover \tilde{M} must have polynomial growth of volume [13]. The same applies to the uniform contraction or expansion inside a stable or unstable foliation.

Lemma 2.2. *In an Anosov (or partially hyperbolic) diffeomorphism, the stable and unstable foliations have polynomial growth of volume.*

Brin showed that for an Anosov diffeomorphism with “pinched” spectrum, the supporting manifold has a universal cover with polynomial growth of volume [2]. The proof relies on the following.

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are C^0 foliations with C^1 leaves on a compact Riemannian manifold M , such that $T\mathcal{F}$ and $T\mathcal{G}$ are continuous as subbundles of TM . If the lifted foliations on the universal cover \tilde{M}*

- have polynomial Global Product Structure,
- have polynomial bounds on rectangles, and
- have polynomial growth of volume,

then \tilde{M} has polynomial growth of volume.

Pinching is needed only to establish the first of the above three conditions.

Corollary 2.4. *If an Anosov diffeomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$ has polynomial Global Product Structure, the universal cover has polynomial growth of volume.*

For a compact manifold M , the universal cover has polynomial growth of volume if and only if the fundamental group does. In such a case, a famous result of Gromov shows that the fundamental group is virtually nilpotent [6]. This fact allowed Brin and Manning to classify Anosov diffeomorphisms with pinched spectra [4]. In fact, their paper proves the following more general result.

Theorem 2.5 (Brin-Manning). *If an Anosov diffeomorphism f has Global Product Structure, and the universal cover has polynomial growth of volume, then f is topologically conjugate to an infranilmanifold automorphism.*

Taken with Corollary 2.4, this proves one direction of Theorem 1.1.

The other direction is an enjoyable exercise in basic Lie group theory. As a hint, we suggest the following.

Lemma 2.6. *Let G be a nilpotent group with a subset V such that $[V, V] \subset V$. Any element of the form $g = s_1 u_1 \cdots s_n u_n$ with $s_i, u_i \in V$ may be rewritten as*

$$g = s_1 \cdots s_n a_1 \cdots a_\ell u_1 \cdots u_n$$

where $a_k \in [V, V]$ and where ℓ depends polynomially on n .

Definition. We define *linear Global Product Structure* as polynomial Global Product Structure where the associated polynomial may be taken as linear, that is, of the form $p(t) = at + b$.

The following is proved, but not explicitly stated in [9].

Proposition 2.7. *An Anosov diffeomorphism with quasi-isometric stable and unstable foliations has linear Global Product Structure.*

Taken with Theorem 1.1, this proves Theorem 1.2.

3. PARTIALLY HYPERBOLIC SYSTEMS

First, consider weakly partially hyperbolic systems. For simplicity, assume E^s is the zero bundle. That is, the splitting is $TM = E^c \oplus E^u$. For every weakly partially hyperbolic system, there is a unique foliation tangent to the unstable subbundle E^u . For the center subbundle E^c , there may or may not be a tangent foliation. It is not known if a such a center foliation must be unique [5].

Definition. A weakly partially hyperbolic system has *(linear/polynomial) Global Product Structure*, if there are foliations W^c and W^u tangent to E^c and E^u which satisfy the property after lifting to the universal cover.

Lemma 3.1. *If a weakly partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism has linear Global Product Structure, then W^c and W^u have polynomial bounds on rectangles.*

Proof. For the ordered pair (W^c, W^u) the proof is as in the Anosov case. For (W^u, W^c) , consider an R -rectangle ϕ with $d_u(\phi(0, 1), \phi(1, 1)) > 1$. We wish to bound $d_u(\phi(0, 0), \phi(0, 1))$ from below. By a compactness argument, there are constants γ, μ, β such that

$$\|Tf v^c\| < \gamma < \mu < \|Tf v^u\| < \beta$$

for all unit vectors $v^c \in E^c$ and $v^u \in E^u$. Then, $d_u(f^n \phi(0, 1), f^n \phi(1, 1)) > \mu^n$ for all $n > 0$. By linear Global Product Structure, there is n proportional to $\log R$ such that $d(f^n \phi(0, 0), f^n \phi(1, 1)) > 2\gamma^n R$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^n \phi(1, 0), f^n \phi(1, 1)) < \gamma^n R &\Rightarrow d(f^n \phi(0, 0), f^n \phi(1, 0)) > \gamma^n R \\ &\Rightarrow d(\phi(0, 0), \phi(1, 0)) > \beta^{-n} \gamma^n R. \end{aligned}$$

As n is proportional to $\log R$, this gives a polynomial bound. \square

As in the Anosov case, the strong expansion in the unstable direction implies that W^u has polynomial growth of volume, but no such claim can be made for the center. Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 2.3 give the following.

Proposition 3.2. *If $f : M \rightarrow M$ is weakly partially hyperbolic with linear Global Product Structure, and W^c has polynomial growth of volume, then the universal cover \tilde{M} has polynomial growth of volume.*

The proof of Proposition 2.7 in [9] also applies to weakly partially hyperbolic systems, giving the following.

Corollary 3.3. *If $f : M \rightarrow M$ is weakly partially hyperbolic, W^c and W^u exist and are quasi-isometric, and W^c has polynomial growth of volume, then the universal cover \tilde{M} has polynomial growth of volume.*

Now consider strongly partially hyperbolic systems. Due to the three-way splitting $E^s \oplus E^c \oplus E^u$, we need to define a notion of product structure inside a foliation.

Definition. Suppose \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are subfoliations of a foliation \mathcal{W} . Then \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} have *(linear/polynomial) Global Product Structure inside \mathcal{W}* if they have this property when restricted to each leaf of \mathcal{W} . Any choice of polynomial must be independent of the leaf.

Most of the above results can be adapted to the case of product structure inside a foliation, with almost no change to the proofs.

Definition. Suppose a strongly partially hyperbolic system f has foliations W^u , W^s , W^c , W^{cu} and W^{cs} tangent to E^u , E^s , E^c , $E^c \oplus E^u$ and $E^c \oplus E^s$. Then, f has *(linear/polynomial) Global Product Structure* if the following pairs satisfy the property on the universal cover:

- W^{cs} and W^u ,
- W^s and W^{cu} ,
- W^s and W^c inside W^{cs} , and
- W^c and W^u inside W^{cu} .

The following is proved, but not explicitly stated in [9].

Proposition 3.4. *A strongly partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism with quasi-isometric stable, center, and unstable foliations has linear Global Product Structure.*

The next two results may be proved from their weakly partially hyperbolic counterparts.

Proposition 3.5. *If $f : M \rightarrow M$ is strongly partially hyperbolic with linear Global Product Structure, and W^c has polynomial growth of volume, then the universal cover \tilde{M} has polynomial growth of volume.*

Corollary 3.6. *If $f : M \rightarrow M$ is strongly partially hyperbolic, W^s , W^c and W^u exist and are quasi-isometric, and W^c has polynomial growth of volume, then the universal cover \tilde{M} has polynomial growth of volume.*

Note that one-dimensional foliations always have polynomial growth of volume. One-dimensional center foliations are by far the most commonly studied case.

We now prove the last claim of the introduction.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By classical Bieberbach theory, any diffeomorphism on a finite quotient of the 3-torus lifts to a diffeomorphism on the 3-torus [7]. For partial hyperbolicity on the 3-torus, it is already known that the invariant foliations exist and are quasi-isometric [3][8].

For the other direction, apply Corollary 3.6 to see that the fundamental group has polynomial growth. Parwani showed that in this case, M is finitely covered by a circle bundle over a torus [12]. For partially hyperbolic systems on such manifolds, the center foliations have been completely classified [8][10]. The center foliation is quasi-isometric if and only if the circle bundle is the 3-torus. \square

IMPA, ESTRADA DONA CASTORINA 110, RIO DE JANEIRO / BRASIL 22460-320

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Brin. Nonwandering points of Anosov diffeomorphisms. *Astérisque*, 49:11–18, 1977.
- [2] M. Brin. On the fundamental group of a manifold admitting a U-diffeomorphism. In *Sov. Math. Dokl.*, volume 19, pages 497–500, 1978.
- [3] M. Brin, D. Burago, and S. Ivanov. Dynamical coherence of partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms of the 3-torus. *Journal of Modern Dynamics*, 3(1):1–11, 2009.
- [4] M. Brin and A. Manning. Anosov diffeomorphisms with pinched spectrum. *Dynamical Systems and Turbulence, Warwick 1980*, pages 48–53, 1981.
- [5] K. Burns and A. Wilkinson. Dynamical coherence and center bunching. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems*, 22(1&2):89–100, 2008.
- [6] M. Gromov. Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps. *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 53(1):53–78, 1981.
- [7] K.Y. Ha, J.H. Jo, S.W. Kim, and J.B. Lee. Classification of free actions of finite groups on the 3-torus. *Topology and its Applications*, 121(3):469–507, 2002.
- [8] A. Hammerlindl. *Leaf conjugacies on the torus*. PhD thesis, University of Toronto, 2009.
- [9] A. Hammerlindl. The dynamics of quasi-isometric foliations. *preprint arXiv:1110.5662*, 2011.
- [10] A. Hammerlindl. Partial hyperbolicity on 3-dimensional nilmanifolds. *preprint arXiv:1103.3724*, 2011.
- [11] A. Manning. There are no new Anosov diffeomorphisms on tori. *Amer. J. Math.*, 96(3):422–42, 1974.
- [12] K. Parwani. On 3-manifolds that support partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms. *Nonlinearity*, 23:589–606, 2010.
- [13] M. Shub. Expanding maps. *Global Analysis: Proceedings of the Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, 14:273–276, 1970.